AFTERWORD
Town Meeting 2002

- Ideally, a 5-Year Plan should include the following categories:
  - Maintenance & Operation of existing facilities and programs.
  - Construction & Commissioning of completed engineering designs.
  - Engineering Design of new facilities chosen by thorough evaluations.
  - Concept Evaluation: Comparison of scientific potential, technical feasibility and probable cost of competing proposals for new facilities.
- Traditionally the final category has been relegated to semi-democratic processes such as TUG AGMs, Town Meetings and the prior efforts of self-organized groups of Users. While new initiatives must always have "grass roots" origins, these partisan efforts must evaluated and compared much more thoroughly than is possible in a year or two before each 5-Year Plan. A step is missing.
- I therefore proposed (in 2002) that TRIUMF create a standing LRPC to fulfill this role. This body would receive proposals asynchronously and review them full time, thus alleviating the "Communication Bottleneck" .
- 2005 : Communication Task Group recommends creative use of Web-based tools (databases, interactive forms, *wikis etc.*) to facilitate User INPUT.

CMMS

TRIUMF Centre for Molecular & Materials Science

8 Year Plan 2007-2015

Jess H. Brewer - 28 July 2006

Proposal for a

Design Study for a **Surface Muon Source**

in the present **Proton Hall** (2010-2015)

One possible design:
Leaky Magnetic Bottle

Place production target in a field between two rad-hard coils (proton beam into page). [a sort of "poor man's Lobashev" μ source]



$\theta_{crit} \sim 35^o \Rightarrow \Omega_{escape} \sim 1.5$ sr

Reflection criterion:

$$\left|\frac{v_{0\parallel}}{v_{0\perp}}\right| = |\cot\theta_0| < \sqrt{\frac{B_{max} - B_0}{B_0}}$$



Low energy **pions** **return** to skin of production target (textured to make every surface both an entrance and an exit surface).

Surface **muons** **escape** if $\theta_0 < \theta_{crit}$ (equivalent to an **acceptance** of 1/8 of entire 4π solid angle).

Net improvement over conventional surface muon channels ~ factor of

200


Cyclotron Vault
150 μA
BL4
EXISTING PROTON HALL
Kickers and splitters
to multiple areas
"Dai Omega" style
High Flux Surface μ+ Beam
Surface Muon Source
Custom Production Target
inside a "magnetic bottle" for pions
Ultra Low Energy
μ+ Beam
8Li Source ?
150 μA beam dump
β-NMR
Grade Level
Grade Level

The PSI Apparatus for Low Energy μSR





World's only operating ultra-**L**ow **E**nergy **M**uon beam facility at PSI:

~10^3 μ^+/sec

(*vs.* TRIUMF's ^{8}Li -NMR)

OR . . . re-accelerate
to ~ 500 keV and

focus on **very** small spot.



Proposed
ultra-**Low Energy Muon**
beam facility at TRIUMF:

~10^4 μ^+/sec

Large Solid Angle Axial Focusing Superconducting Surface Muon Channel, Dai Omega

EXISTS!

Ω = 1.3 sr!




150 μA BL4
Cyclotron Vault
150 μA BL5
EXISTING PROTON HALL
(Reroute to ISAC)
Surface Muon Source
Custom Production Target
in a "magnetic bottle" for pions
"Dai Omega" style
High Flux Surface μ+ Beam
It may also be possible to extract two separate beams simultaneously from the BL4 port.
Low Energy μ+ Beam
150 μA beam dump

Schedule & "Bare Minimum" Costs

- Working Backward:
  - 2015: Construction
  - 2014: Finalize details
  - 2013: Next 5YP firm
  - 2012: Converge
  - 2011: Choose winners
  - 2010: Develop designs
  - 2008: Recruit people

- People Costs:
  - Beam Optician $75K/y
  - Engineer $75K/y
  - Technician $50K/y
- Other Costs:
  - Prototypes $300K
  - Test Expts $200K
- TOTAL $ **1.5 M** (2010-15)

The End

"Appendices" follow . . .

(ADD 5 YEARS)

Proton → Muon Hall: Critical Path



High Time Resolution Transverse Field (TF)-μSR





CW (PSI & TRIUMF) *vs.* **Pulsed** (ISIS, J-PARC) Muon Facilities

Time resolution of **CW**-μSR *two orders of magnitude* better!

- **Time Structure:**

Most "standard" muon experiments (as performed at TRIUMF or PSI) require *CW* beam. However, other time structures can be very useful:

– *CW Beam:*



– *Bunched Beam:*



1. Rare decays & capture (low backgrounds).
2. Pulsed TD-$\mu\mathcal{SR}$ (if δt is small).

– *Pulsed Beam:*



1. Laser excitation of short-lived species.
2. More efficient RF-$\mu\mathcal{SR}$ (like NMR).

$A_p \equiv$ "ADVANTAGE FACTOR" for PULSED MUONS (OVER CW MUONS)

$$A_p = \log\left(\frac{N_i}{N_f}\right)$$

NUMBER OF SYNCHRONIZED "INPUTS" (μ, RF, Laser, ...)

NUMBER OF CORRELATED "OUTPUTS" (e, n, γ, fragments, recoil, ...)

"Themes" in μSR

Muonium as light Hydrogen

(Mu = μ^+e^-) (H = p^+e^-)

- **Mu vs. H atom Chemistry:**
  - gases, liquids & solids
  - Best test of reaction rate theories.
  - Study "unobservable" H atom rxns.
  - Discover new radical species.
- **Mu vs. H in Semiconductors:**

- Until recently, μ^+SR → **only** data on metastable H states in semiconductors!

The Muon as a Probe

- **Probing Magnetism:** unequalled sensitivity
  - Local fields: electronic structure; ordering
  - Dynamics: electronic, nuclear spins
- **Probing Superconductivity:** (esp. HT$_c$SC)
  - Coexistence of SC & Magnetism
  - Magnetic Penetration Depth
  - Coherence Length

- **Quantum Diffusion:** μ^+ in metals (compare H^+); Mu in nonmetals (compare H).